### RECORD OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

# Tuesday, 16 October 2012

**Decision No: (CAB 12/13 9161)** 

DECISION-MAKER: CABINET

PORTFOLIO AREA: LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

SUBJECT: ROYAL PIER WATERFRONT - ARRANGEMENTS IN RESPECT

OF MAYFLOWER PARK

AUTHOR: Emma Meredith

### THE DECISION

- (i) That the Head of Legal, HR and Democratic Services be authorised to:-
  - Negotiate and enter into any necessary legal agreements or other legal documentation following consultation with the Senior Manager City Development to acquire land associated with an extended Mayflower Park.
  - advertise proposals for the appropriation and/or disposal of Public Open Space land respectively under S.122 and S.123 of the Local Government Act 1972;
     and
- (ii) That the Director of Environment and Economy, after consultation with the appropriate Cabinet Member, be authorised to agree the exact area of land to be disposed of in (b) above.

#### REASONS FOR THE DECISION

- 1. Creating a waterfront destination is important to the ongoing renaissance and economic well being of the City. The Royal Pier Waterfront (RPW) development is critical to the aim of reconnecting the City Centre with its waterfront.
- 2. The RPW proposals involve the redesign of Mayflower Park and some development in the existing park, which is designated as Public Open Space (POS). The Council is required to advertise proposals for the appropriation of POS under S.122 of the Local Government Act 1972 and/or for the disposal of POS under S.123 of the Local Government Act and to consider objections before development could take place. The proposals also include a significant extension to and reconfiguration of the park. The extended Mayflower Park will be larger than the existing, by approximately 1 hectare, resulting in no net loss of POS.

## **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- 1. Not advertise the disposal of POS rejected because without undertaking this process the Council would not be able to progress the RPW proposals in conjunction with its development partner Morgan Sindall Investments Ltd (MSIL).
- 2. Not acquire the extended park rejected because this would mean that a proportion of the park would not be in the Council's ownership which would affect the Council's ability to manage and maintain the overall park.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION	
None.	
CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	
None.	
CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD  We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision.	
Date: 16 October 2012	Decision Maker: The Cabinet
	Proper Officer: Judy Cordell
SCRUTINY Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny "Call-In" provisions.	
Call-In Period expires on	
Date of Call-in (if applicable) (this suspends implementation)	

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)
Call-in heard by (if applicable)
Results of Call-in (if applicable)